

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/658,154	BURGENER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Terry L. Englund	2816	

All Participants:

Status of Application: TBD

(1) Exmr Terry L. Englund.

(3) _____.

(2) Applicants' Rep Martin J. Jaquez (Reg. No. 38,060).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 December 2009

Time: 2:30 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Newly submitted Japanese reference may read on claims with capacitive coupling.

Claims discussed:

Only in general, no one claim specifically.

Prior art documents discussed:

JP 11-252900 (Figs. 3-4)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicants' representative and examiner discussed similarities of the Japanese reference with respect to the applicants' own Fig. 6 and use of capacitive coupling. The applicants' rep will look over the reference in more detail and get back with the examiner later.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Terry L Englund/
Examiner, Art Unit 2816

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)